

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/078,709	02/21/2002	Kenji Nishinakagawa	1248-0577P-SP	6234	
2292	7590 03/21/	06	EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASO	TRAN, T	TRAN, TUAN A		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2618		
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/078,709	NISHINAKAGAWA, KENJI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tuan A. Tran	2682		

	Tuan A. Tran	2082	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, af ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	6.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The approprince in the final Office.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. San attached Nation of Non Co	maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Ampliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
<u>—</u>			

Matthew Anderson SPE 2618

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argued that neither Futamaru nor Gardenfors, taken singularly or in combination, teach or suggest a low pass filter as claimed (See Remark, page 3-5). The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's argument. First, Futamara does disclose the electronic component 79 performs the same function as the component 75 (reception side) but in the opposite direction (transmission side), wherein the component 75 is disclosed as a variable bandpass filter, the component 79 is also a variable bandpass filter (See figs. 5, 8 and col. 3 lines 35-41, col. 12 lines 47-65). Second, since Futamara teaches all claimed limitations except the component 79 is a variable low pass filter, and the technique of using bandpass filter type for reception side as well as low pass filter type for transmission side is widely known in the art as shown by Gardenfors (See fig. 4); therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to replace the variable bandpass filter 79 with a variable low pass filter for the advanatge of enhancing the signal quality as well as giving a designer a higher degree of freedom in selecting a suitable electronic component for an overall designed circuitry. The Futamara in combined with Gardenfors would perfectly arrive to the claimed subject matters. For those reasons, the rejections are proper and stand for all the pending claims.

WANTEAN DIV-2618